

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6528 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

RAVALIA SAMJI MULJI

Versus

SHARDAPITH ARTS & COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Appearance:

MR MC BHATT for Petitioner
MR PC MASTER for Respondent No. 1
MR JR NANAVALI for Respondent No. 2
MS. SIDDHIBEN TALATI AGP for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 03/03/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate Mr. Bhatt is appearing for the petitioner. Learned advocate Mr. Master is appearing

for the first respondent. Ms. Siddhiben Talati, learned AGP is appearing for the third respondent.

In this petition, the petitioner has prayed for appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondent No.1 to appoint the petitioner as full time lecturer in the subject of Sanskrit. Mr. Master, the learned advocate appearing for the first respondent has produced on record the statement showing the list of teachers who have applied for paper setter in examination ensuing in the month of March/April, 1999 in the Saurashtra University. Said statement is ordered to be taken on record. In the said statement, at Sr.No. 63, name of the present petitioner i.e. S.M.Ravalia has been mentioned. According to Mr. Master, the petitioner has already been appointed as Lecturer in the MVM College, Rajkot and he is working as such. Said statement has been made by Mr. Master on the basis of the information received from the respondents and also on the basis of the said statement which has been produced by him. In view of the said statement made by Mr. Master, the present petition has become infructuous. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim order made earlier shall stand vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

3.3.2000. (H.K.Rathod, J.)

Vyas